

Hankel Moore-Penrose Condition Numbers

Nikolai Nikolski

Institute of Mathematics, Bordeaux

and

Chebyshev Laboratory, St.Petersburg

I. Hankel Operators on the Hardy space H^2

Hankel: a bounded linear operator $\Gamma : H^2 \longrightarrow H^2$,

$$H^2 = \left\{ f : f = \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{f}(k) z^k, \sum_{k \geq 0} |\hat{f}(k)|^2 = \|f\|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

having a matrix

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & \dots \\ c_1 & c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & \dots & \dots \\ c_2 & c_3 & c_4 & c_5 & \dots & \dots \\ c_3 & c_4 & c_5 & c_6 & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

with respect to the standard basis $(z^k)_0^\infty$, or equivalently

$$\Gamma S = S^* \Gamma,$$

where $Sf = zf(z)$ stands for the shift operator on H^2 .

- **Notation** $\Gamma = \Gamma_f$ if $c_k = \hat{f}(k)$, $k \geq 0$ (**Fourier coefficients of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$**)

- **Nehari's theorem:** Γ is bounded on $H^2 \Leftrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_f$ with $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$\|\Gamma\| = \min\{\|f\|_\infty : \Gamma = \Gamma_f, f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})\} = \|f\|_{L^\infty/H_-^\infty}$$

where $H_-^\infty = \{f : f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}), \hat{f}(k) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 0\}$.

- **Yet another useful model for Hankel operators:** $H = \mathcal{J}\Gamma : H^2 \longrightarrow H_-^2$, where $H_-^2 = L^2 \ominus H^2 = \{f : f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}), \hat{f}(k) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 0\}$ and $\mathcal{J}f = \bar{z}f(\bar{z})$ ($z \in \mathbb{T}$), $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$; \mathcal{J} is a unitary symmetry on L^2 , $\mathcal{J}z^k = z^{-k-1}$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$), $\mathcal{J}^2 = I$.

- **Nehari's theorem:** a Hankel $H : H^2 \longrightarrow H_-^2$ is bounded $\Leftrightarrow \exists g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ s.t.

$$Hx = H_g x =: P_-(gx) \quad (x \in H^2), \quad P_- \text{ is the orthoprojection on } H_-^2.$$

- **In fact,** $\|H_g\| = \|g\|_{L^\infty/H^\infty}$ and $\mathcal{J}\Gamma_f = H_{\mathcal{J}f}$.

Condition Numbers

- **Condition number of a linear operator** $CN(A) = \|A\| \cdot \|A^{-1}\|$
- **Important everywhere where the size of inverses $\|A^{-1}\|$ or the resolvents $\|(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\|$ matters:**
 - for (effective) similarity $V^{-1}AV$, $CN(V) \leq \dots$;
 - for functional calculi $f(A) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} (\lambda I - A)^{-1} f(\lambda) d\lambda$;
 - for computational linear algebra and $n \times n$ matrices A :
 - as the maximal relative errors for perturbed equations:
 $A(x + \Delta x) = y + \Delta y$,

$$CN(A) = \max_{x, \Delta y} \frac{\|\Delta x\| / \|x\|}{\|\Delta y\| / \|y\|},$$

- as a measure for the linear independence of columns $(Ae_k)_{k=0}^{n-1}$:

$$\frac{1}{CN(A)} = \min \left\{ \frac{\|A - B\|}{\|A\|} : \text{rank}(B) < n \right\}.$$

- Condition number $CN(\Gamma)$ has no sense for a Hankel Γ (always $0 \in \sigma(\Gamma)$).

But Γ can be invertible if we disregard the kernel $Ker\Gamma$.

- Inverses disregarding the kernels are called Moore-Penrose inverses: A and B are (mutually) Penrose inverse to each other if $BAB = B$, $ABA = A$ (and AB, BA selfadjoint). It is to say, $AB = I$ on the $Range(A)$, $BA = I$ on the range B , complemented by 0 on complements.

- Fact: The restriction $\Gamma|_{(Ker\Gamma)^\perp}$ can be invertible, and moreover $|\Gamma|_{(Ker\Gamma)^\perp}$ is an arbitrary positive operator, up to unitary equivalence (S.Treil, 1990/1991).

- Moreover, $KerH_f = Ker\Gamma_{\mathcal{J}_f} \neq \{0\} \Leftrightarrow$ there exist $\varphi \in H^\infty$ and a Beurling inner function Θ ($\Theta \in H^\infty$ and $|\Theta| = 1$ a.e. on τ) such that $KerH_f = \Theta H^2$, and $H_f = H_{\bar{\Theta}\varphi}$.

- Let $H : H^2 \longrightarrow H_-^2$ be a Hankel having a kernel,

$$\text{Ker}H = \Theta H^2, \Theta \text{ inner}, H = H_{\bar{\Theta}\varphi}, \varphi \in H^\infty,$$

and let $K_\Theta = (\text{Ker}H)^\perp = H^2 \ominus \Theta H^2$ the so-called "model space" and $M_\Theta : K_\Theta \longrightarrow K_\Theta$ the model operator,

$$M_\Theta x = P_\Theta(zx), x \in K_\Theta,$$

P_Θ stands for the orthogonal projection on K_Θ .

- **D.Clark, 1972:** $\Theta H_{\bar{\Theta}\varphi} = \varphi(M_\Theta)P_\Theta$, and hence ($H_{\bar{\Theta}\varphi}$ is Penrose invertible) \Leftrightarrow ($\text{Range}(H_{\bar{\Theta}\varphi})$ closed) \Leftrightarrow $\varphi(M_\Theta)$ invertible \Leftrightarrow A Bezout equation $\varphi h + \Theta g = 1$ is solvable in $h, g \in H^\infty$, and moreover

$$\|H_{\bar{\Theta}\varphi}^{(-1)}\| = \|\varphi(M_\Theta)^{-1}\| = \min \|h\|_\infty,$$

the *inf* (*min*) is taken over all these solutions.

• **Comments:** The quantity $\inf \|h\|_\infty$, $\varphi h + \Theta g = 1$, could be estimated in terms of $\inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (|\varphi(z)|^2 + |\Theta(z)|^2)$, but usually the latter it is not available...

The available quantity is

$$\delta_\varphi =: \inf \{ |\varphi(z)| : z \in \sigma(M_\Theta) \} \text{ (if } \varphi \in H^\infty \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})),$$

or even only $\delta_\varphi = \inf \{ |\varphi(\lambda)| : |\lambda| < 1, \Theta(\lambda) = 0 \}$.

• The set $\{ \lambda : |\lambda| < 1, \Theta(\lambda) = 0 \} = \sigma_p(M_\Theta)$ is the point spectrum of M_Θ , the reproducing kernels $k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1-\lambda z}$, $\Theta(\lambda) = 0$, are still eigenvectors of $\varphi(M_\Theta)^*$:

$$\varphi(M_\Theta)^* k_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} k_\lambda, \Theta(\lambda) = 0.$$

• The problem is whether there exists a function $t \mapsto c(t)$, $t > 0$ such that

$$\|H_{\overline{\Theta}\varphi}^{(-1)}\| = \|\varphi(M_\Theta)^{-1}\| \leq c(\delta_\varphi), \forall \varphi \in H^\infty ?$$

• Given an inner function Θ we define $c(\delta) =$

$$= \sup\{\|H_{\bar{\Theta}\varphi}^{(-1)}\| = \|\varphi(M_{\Theta})^{-1}\| : \delta \leq \delta_{\varphi} = \inf_{\sigma(\Theta)} |\varphi| \leq \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1\},$$

where $0 < \delta < 1$, $\sigma(\Theta) = \sigma(M_{\Theta}) = \{z : |z| \leq 1, \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow z} |\Theta(\zeta)| = 0\}$ and $\varphi \in H^{\infty} \cap C(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ (the disc algebra).

• **Comments:** (1) Normalization $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ is necessary to have an estimate for condition numbers $CN(H_{\bar{\Theta}\varphi}) = CN(\varphi(M_{\Theta}))$.

(2) In fact, the estimate given by $c(\delta)$ can be written directly in CN -terms, as follows

$$\frac{1}{\Delta(\varphi)} \leq CN(H_{\bar{\Theta}\varphi}) = CN(\varphi(M_{\Theta})) \leq c\left(\frac{1}{\Delta(\varphi)}\right),$$

(sharp estimates) where $\Delta(\varphi) = r(\varphi(M_{\Theta})^{-1})\|\varphi(M_{\Theta})\|$ is a "SPECTRAL CONDITION NUMBER" (the norm $\|\varphi(M_{\Theta})^{-1}\|$ is replaced by the spectral radius $r(\varphi(M_{\Theta})^{-1})$).

(3) The problem is to decide whether $c(\delta) < \infty$ for all (certain) $0 < \delta < 1$.

- More notation:

- The pseudohyperbolic distance between $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ is $\rho(z, w) = |b_z(w)|$, where $b_z(w) = \frac{z-w}{1-\bar{z}w}$ stands for a Blaschke factor.
- An inner function Θ can be factored into $\Theta = BS$ where

$$B = \prod_{k \geq 1} b_{\lambda_k} \text{ and } S = \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\zeta+z}{\zeta-z} d\nu(\zeta)\right)$$

are, respectively, a Blaschke product over the zeroes $Z(\Theta) = (\lambda_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of Θ in the disc \mathbb{D} and a singular inner function, $\nu \geq 0$ being a singular Borel measure on \mathbb{T} .

- A Borel measure $\mu \geq 0$ on the disc \mathbb{D} is said to be a "Carleson measure" if $H^2 \subset L^2(\mu)$; μ is Carleson if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}\zeta|^2} d\mu(\zeta) < \infty$$

(the Reproducing Kernel Test).

- A measure associated with a Blaschke product B is defined as $\mu_B = \sum_{k \geq 1} (1 - |\lambda_k|^2) \delta_{\lambda_k}$.

• **THEOREM 1** (P.Gorkin, R.Mortini, N.N. -2008). **Given an inner function Θ , with the above notation, the following properties are equivalent.**

(1) $\forall \delta, 0 < \delta < 1 \Rightarrow c(\delta) < \infty$.

(2) **If $\varphi \in H^\infty$ and $\delta_\varphi = \inf_{\Theta(\lambda)=0} |\varphi(\lambda)| > 0$ then $\varphi(M_\Theta)$ is invertible ($H_{\Theta\varphi}^-$ Penrose invertible).**

(3) $\Theta = BS$, and $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \eta > 0$ s.t. $\{|S| \leq \eta\} \subset \{|B| \leq \epsilon\}$ and μ_B is a "Weak Carleson measure": $\forall \epsilon > 0$

$$\sup_{\rho(w, Z(\Theta)) \geq \epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1 - |w|^2}{|1 - \bar{w}\zeta|^2} d\mu_B(\zeta) < \infty.$$

(4) $\forall \epsilon > 0 \eta(\epsilon) =: \inf\{|\Theta(w)| : \rho(w, Z(\Theta)) \geq \epsilon\} > 0$.

Moreover, $c(\delta) \leq \frac{a}{\eta(\delta/3)^2} \log \frac{1}{\eta(\delta/3)}$ for every $\delta, 0 < \delta < 1$ ($a > 0$ is a numerical constant), and so

$$\|H_{\Theta\varphi}^{(-1)}\| = \|\varphi(M_\Theta)^{-1}\| \leq c(\delta_\varphi) \quad (\forall \varphi \in H^\infty, \|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1).$$

• **Comments:** (a) If $\sigma(M_\Theta)$ is in a Stolz angle then (3) \Leftrightarrow (3') $\Theta = BS$, $S = 1$ and μ_B is a Carleson measure (not only "weak Carleson").

(b) In the latter case (3'), $Z(B) = (\lambda_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is a finite union of interpolating sequences (say, N) and

$$c(\delta) \leq \frac{a}{\delta^{2N}} \log \frac{e}{\delta}, \quad 0 < \delta < 1.$$

(c) In general, $\delta \mapsto c(\delta)$ is a non-increasing function on $(0, 1)$ which can be infinite for some δ , $\delta \in (0, \delta(\Theta))$, and finite for $\delta \in (\delta(\Theta), 1)$. For every $\delta_1 \in [0, 1]$ there exists $\Theta = B$ such that $\delta(B) = \delta_1$ (Vasyunin + N., 2011).

(d) Even if $\delta(\Theta) = 0$, $c(\delta)$ can grow arbitrarily fast as $\delta \downarrow 0$ (Borichev, 2013).

(e) In fact, $\delta(\Theta) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 : \eta(\epsilon) > 0\}$ (Borichev-Nicolau-Thomas, 2017).

II. Cripto-Hankel Integral Operators

- "(Almost) every operator is Hankel"

Below $A : H \longrightarrow H$ is a bounded Hilbert space operator.

- Every non-negative operator $A \geq 0$ having $0 \in \sigma_{ess}(A)$ and $dim Ker A \in \{0, \infty\}$ is the modulus $|\Gamma|$ of a Hankel Γ with respect to an orthonormal basis (S.Treil, 1990).

- Every A with $0 \in \sigma_{ess}(A)$ and $dim Ker A \in \{0, \infty\}$, being multiplied by a unitary operator, has a Hankel matrix Γ with respect to an orthonormal basis (is a "cripto-hankel operator").

In particular, $CN(A) = CN(\Gamma)$.

- Every selfadjoint operator with simple spectrum has a Hankel matrix with respect to an orthonormal basis (A.Megretsky- V.Peller- S.Treil, 1995).

An example: lower triangular integral operators

- Let μ be Borel probability measure on $[0, 1]$ and J_μ an integration operator

$$J_\mu f(x) = \int_{[0, x>} f d\mu, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

on the spaces $L^p([0, 1], \mu)$.

- $[0, x >$ can be $[0, x)$ or $[0, x]$, or - which is better for a symmetry reason between J_μ and J_μ^* - an arithmetic mean of these two:

$$J_\mu f(x) = \int_{[0, x>} f d\mu = \int_{[0, x)} f d\mu + \frac{1}{2}\mu(\{x\})f(x), \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

- We use the standard decomposition of μ , $\mu = \mu_c + \mu_d$, in the discrete $\mu_d = \sum_{y \in [0, 1]} \mu(\{y\})\delta_y$ and the continuous components. If $\mu_d = 0$, then

$$J_\mu f(x) = \int_0^x f d\mu.$$

- $J_\mu : L^p(\mu) \longrightarrow L^p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is a compact operator whose spectrum

$$\sigma(J_\mu : L^p(\mu) \longrightarrow L^p(\mu))$$

does not depend on p and consists of $\{0\}$ and the eigenvalues $\frac{1}{2}\mu(\{y\})$, $y \in [0, 1]$.

- Consider the algebra of lower triangular integral operators generated by J_μ ,

$$A_{\mu,p} = \text{alg}_{L^p(\mu)}(J_\mu),$$

the norm closure of polynomials in $J_\mu : L^p(\mu) \longrightarrow L^p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $J_\mu^0 =: id$.

- We will bounding condition numbers of operators in $A_{\mu,p}$ in terms of the spectral condition numbers.

The question is treated as the well/ill-posedness of the inversion problem in $A_{\mu,p}$, in the following sense.

• The problem (as before) is to find a bound $CN(S) \leq c(1/\Delta(S))$ in terms of the spectral condition number $\Delta(S) = r(S^{-1})\|S\|$, $S \in A_{\mu,p}$.

• Define

$$\delta_S = \min(|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(S)), \text{ where } S \in A_{\mu,p},$$

$$c(\delta) = \sup\{\|S^{-1}\| : \delta \leq \delta_S \leq \|S\| \leq 1, S \in A_{\mu,p}\}, 0 < \delta \leq 1,$$

$$\delta(A_{\mu,p}) = \inf\{\delta \in (0, 1] : c(\delta) < \infty\},$$

(a "critical constant": $c(\delta) = \infty$ for $0 < \delta < \delta(A_{\mu,p})$, and $c(\delta) < \infty$ for $\delta(A_{\mu,p}) < \delta \leq 1$).

• **Comment:** this is a kind of the well/ill-posedness of the inversion problem for polynomials in $J_{\mu,p}$:

- well-posed if $\delta(A_{\mu,p}) = 0$, and

- ill-posed if $\delta(A_{\mu,p}) > 0$ (... there exists an "invisible" but numerically detectable spectrum).

- Today, I can manage the problem for two following cases only:
 - $p = 1$ or ∞ AND $\mu = \mu_c$ (continuous measure),
 - $p = 2$, μ arbitrary.
- We say that a sequence of positive numbers $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ geometrically decrease if $\sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} < 1$.

- **Theorem 1.** For the case $p = 1$, $\mu = \mu_c$, we have

$$\delta(A) = 1/2, \text{ and } c(\delta) = \frac{1}{2\delta-1} \text{ for } 1/2 < \delta \leq 1.$$

- **Theorem 2.** For the case $p = 2$, the following alternative holds.

(1) Either, $\mu_c = 0$ and $\sigma(J_\mu)$ is a (finite) union of N geometrically decreasing sequences, and then

$$\delta(A_{\mu,2}) = 0 \text{ and } c(\delta) \leq a \frac{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}{\delta^{2N}}, \quad 0 < \delta < 1,$$

where $a > 0$ depends on N and ratios of geometric sequences in $\sigma(J_\mu)$.

(2) Or, this is not the case, and then $\delta(A_{\mu,2}) = 1$ (so that, $c(\delta) = \infty$ for every $0 < \delta < 1$).

• **Hints to the proof of Theorem 2:**

1) **The operator** $J_\mu : L^2(\mu) \longrightarrow L^2(\mu)$ has a nonnegative real part:

$$J_\mu^* = \int_{\langle x, 1 \rangle} f d\mu, 2\operatorname{Re}(J_\mu)f = \int_{[0,1]} f d\mu = (f, 1)_{L^2(\mu)}1, f \in L^2(\mu),$$

and $\operatorname{rank}(\operatorname{Re}(J_\mu)) = 1$.

Consequently, its *Cayley transform* C_μ is a contraction,

$$C_\mu =: (I - J_\mu)(I + J_\mu)^{-1}, \|C_\mu\| \leq 1,$$

having *rank 1 defects*, $\operatorname{rank}(I - C_\mu^*C_\mu) = \operatorname{rank}(I - C_\mu C_\mu^*) = 1$.

2) $\operatorname{alg}(J_\mu) = \operatorname{alg}(C_\mu)$, $\sigma(C_\mu) = \omega(\sigma(J_\mu)) \subset [0, 1]$ where $\omega(z) = (1 - z)(1 + z)^{-1}$.

3) C_μ is **unitarily equivalent** to its *functional model* $M_\Theta : K_\Theta \longrightarrow K_\Theta$ where $\Theta = \theta_\mu$ stands for the *characteristic function* of C_μ .

• **Hints to the proof of Theorem 2 (cont'd/end):**

4) **Computing the characteristic function,**

$$\theta_\mu(z) = \|(I + i\sqrt{2\operatorname{Re}(J_\mu)}(J_\mu^* - zI)^{-1}\sqrt{2\operatorname{Re}(J_\mu)})1, 1\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{-2},$$

$$\theta_\mu(z) = \prod_{k \geq 1} b_{\lambda_k}(z) \cdot \exp(-\mu_c([0, 1]) \frac{1+z}{1-z}),$$

where $\lambda_k = \frac{1 - \frac{\mu(\{x_k\})}{2}}{1 + \frac{\mu(\{x_k\})}{2}}$ are eigenvalues of C_μ , $b_{\lambda_k}(z) = \frac{\lambda_k - z}{1 - \lambda_k z}$ an elementary Blaschke factor.

5) **Applying the above GMN theorem we get the result. ■**

• References

- N.Nikolski, NUMERICALLY DETECTABLE HIDDEN SPECTRUM OF CERTAIN INTEGRATION OPERATORS, Algebra and Analysis (St. Petersburg Math. J.), 28:6 (2016).
- P.Gorkin, R. Mortini, N. Nikolski, Norm controlled inversions and a corona theorem for H^∞ -quotient algebras, Journal of Functional Analysis 255 (2008) 854–876.

The End

Thank you!